

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The office action dated March 13, 2003, has been carefully reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto. Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14, which remain in the application, were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Levy (U.S. Patent 5,944,790).

Claim 1 recites a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing steps comprising: allowing a user to select a language in which at least a portion of an electronic file is to be displayed; receiving the electronic file at the user's computer, wherein the electronic file's content includes a plurality of phrases that are each expressed in a plurality of languages; at the user's computer, selecting, for display to the user, from the expressions in the plurality of languages, a plurality of phrases that are expressed in the language selected by the user; and displaying to the user the plurality of selected phrases that are expressed in the language selected by the user.

In contrast to the invention as recited in claim 1, Levy discloses preparation of multiple versions of web pages that are to be made available to a web site user. The content of each version of the web pages is expressed in a single language, such as English, Spanish, German, and the like. Based on various criteria, the system of Levy selects a page, expressed in a particular language, and provides that page to the user's computer for display to the user.

Levy does not disclose receiving an electronic file at the user's computer, wherein the electronic file's content includes a plurality of phrases that are each expressed in a plurality of languages. Levy teaches transmission of separate HTML pages for separate languages, as opposed to a single electronic file containing a plurality of phrases each expressed in a plurality of languages. Accordingly, Levy also does not teach or suggest selecting, for display to the user, from the expressions in the plurality of languages, a plurality of phrases that are expressed in the language selected by the user.

The invention as recited in claim 1 provides significant advantages over the prior art of record by allowing a user to select a language in which at least a portion of an electronic file should be displayed to the user, without requiring any additional downloads of any additional

electronic files. In this way, separate electronic files that are stored at separate locations (such as separate URL's for separate Web pages expressed in separate languages) are not needed thereby reducing the amount of data that needs to be stored and the amount of network traffic needed for displaying the electronic document in a language selected by the user.

Accordingly, Levy fails to establish prima facie anticipation or obviousness of the invention of claim 1 because Levy fails to teach or suggest: (1) receiving an electronic file at the user's computer, wherein the electronic file's content includes a plurality of phrases that are each expressed in a plurality of languages; and (2) at the user's computer, selecting, for display to the user, from the expressions in the plurality of languages, a plurality of phrases that are expressed in the language selected by the user, as recited in claim 1.

Claim 6 recites a method of providing an electronic file to a user comprising the steps of: assigning to at least one word in the electronic file at least one identifier which corresponds to a translation in the electronic file for said at least one word; allowing the user to select a language in which the electronic file is to be displayed; using said at least one identifier to obtain, from the electronic file, a translation for said at least one word; inserting the translation into a translated electronic file; and displaying the translated electronic file to the user.

In contrast to the invention as recited in claim 6, Levy discloses preparation of multiple versions of web pages that are to be made available to a web site user. The content of each version of the web pages is expressed in a single language, such as English, Spanish, German, and the like. Based on various criteria, the system of Levy selects a page, expressed in a particular language, and provides that page to the user's computer for display to the user.

Levy, therefore, does not teach or suggest assigning to at least one word in the electronic file at least one identifier which corresponds to a translation in the electronic file for said at least one word. As mentioned above, Levy teaches transmission of separate HTML pages for separate languages. Accordingly, Levy also does not teach or suggest using said at least one identifier to obtain, from the electronic file, a translation for said at least one word. For at least these reasons, Levy fails to establish prima facie anticipation or obviousness of the invention of claim 6.

Claim 14 recites a method of displaying at least a portion of a document in a language selected by a user, said method comprising the steps of: assigning to a plurality of words in the

document a plurality of identifiers that correspond to a plurality of respective translations for said plurality of words; and at the receiving computer: (1) receiving the document from a sending computer, (2) allowing a user to select a language in which the document is to be displayed, (3) using the plurality of identifiers to select a plurality of translations from the plurality of respective translations for said plurality of words, based upon the language selected by the client, (4) replacing the plurality of words in the document with the selected plurality of respective translations for the plurality of words, and (5) displaying the document to the user.

In contrast to the invention as recited in claim 14, Levy discloses preparation of multiple versions of web pages that are to be made available to a web site user. The content of each version of the web pages is expressed in a single language, such as English, Spanish, German, and the like. Based on various criteria, the system of Levy selects a page, expressed in a particular language, and provides that page to the user's computer for display to the user.

Levy, therefore, does not teach or suggest assigning to a plurality of words in the document a plurality of identifiers that correspond to a plurality of respective translations for said plurality of words. As mentioned above, Levy teaches transmission of separate HTML pages for separate languages. Accordingly, Levy also does not teach or suggest performing the following two steps at the receiving computer: (1) using the plurality of identifiers to select a plurality of translations from the plurality of respective translations for said plurality of words, based upon the language selected by the client, and (2) replacing the plurality of words in the document with the selected plurality of respective translations for the plurality of words. For at least these reasons, Levy fails to establish prima facie anticipation or obviousness of the invention of claim 14.

Claims 2-5 and 7-13 properly depend upon claims 1 and 6, respectively. Therefore, these dependent claims are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons discussed above in connection with claims 1 and 6.

Appln. No.: 09/309,372  
Amendment dated June 3, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2003

**CONCLUSION**

It is believed that no fee is required for this submission. If any fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

All rejections having been addressed, applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicits prompt notification of the same.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: June 3, 2003

By: William J. Klein *WJ Klein*  
William J. Klein  
Registration No. 43,719  
Reg. No. 32,168

10 S. Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Tel: (312) 463-5000  
Fax: (312) 463-5001